REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant would like to thank the examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. By the present amendment, claims 13-24 remain in the application. Claims 13, 14 and 24 are amended. Claim 25 is canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of the remarks below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 13-17, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Elberbaum (US 7171106 B2). The rejection is respectfully traversed for at least the following reasons

Independent claims 13 and 24 require generating a related-video condition that relates to the additional information of the base video acquired by the base video retrieving means, which Applicant respectfully submits Elberbaum fails to teach. As claimed, the related-video condition generated relates to the additional information included with the base video retrieved from the video storing means. In contrast, Elberbaum describes at column 2, line 61 – column 3, line 3 that the recorded camera signals are played back on the basis of the recording time and date and/or the stored identification code. However, the conditions such as the recording time and date and the stored identification code relate merely to the recorded signal to be played back itself. Such conditions in Elberbaum do not relate to another signal, and do not relate to the additional information included with the base video retrieved from the video storing means.

Further with regard to claims 13 and 24, Applicant respectfully submits that Elberbaum also fails to teach acquiring at least one related video that meets the related-video condition form the video storing means. As explained above, Elberbaum fails to teach generating a related-

Appln. No. 10/519,956 Amendment dated March 17, 2010

Reply to Office Action dated December 17, 2009

video condition related to additional information included in a base video retrieved from video

storing means. It follows that Elberbaum fails to teach acquiring at least one related video that

satisfies such a related-video condition related to additional information included in a retrieved

base video as claimed.

For at least the above reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that Elberbaum fails to

teach every feature of claims 13 and 24 as required to maintain a rejection of those claims under

35 U.S.C. §102(e). Accordingly, withdrawal of the present rejection is respectfully requested.

The remaining claims in the present application, specifically claims 14-23, are allowable

for the limitations therein and for the limitations of the claims from which they depend.

In consideration of the foregoing analysis, it is respectfully submitted that the present

application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is

determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the examiner is invited to

initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the

present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to

our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No.: NGB-37326.

Respectfully submitted. PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

/donald j. firca, jr./
Donald J. Firca, Jr., Reg. No. 48140

1801 East 9th Street Suite 1200

Date: March 17, 2010

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108

(216) 579-1700

Page 7 of 7